© The American Genetic Association. 2012. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

# Localization of a New Gene for Bitterness in Cucumber

Shengping Zhang\*, Han Miao\*, Rifei Sun, Xiaowu Wang, Sanwen Huang, Todd C. Wehner, and Xingfang Gu

From the Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 10081 China (Zhang, Miao, Sun, Wang, Huang, and Gu); and the Department of Horticultural Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7609 (Wehner).

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Address correspondence to Xingfang Gu at the address above, or e-mail: guxf@mail.caas.net.cn.

## Abstract

Journal of Heredity

doi:10.1093/jheréd/ess075

Bitterness in cucumber fruit and foliage is due to the presence of cucurbitacins. Several genes have been described that control the trait, with *bi* (*bi-1*) making fruit and foliage bitter free and *Bt* (*Bt-1*) making the fruit highly bitter. Previous studies have reported the inheritance and molecular markers linked to *bi-1* or *Bt-1*, but we were interested in studying the inheritance of fruit bitterness in the progeny of 2 nonbitter fruit inbred lines. The objective was to determine the inheritance of cucumber fruit and foliage bitterness and to locate them on a current linkage map using a recombinant inbred lines (RILs) population derived by crossing 9110Gt and 9930. It was concluded from the inheritance analysis that there were 2 loci controlling fruit bitterness in the population. One locus was in the same position as the location previously identified for *bi-1*, and another locus was for *bi-3*. Using a simple sequence repeat (SSR) linkage map, 2 loci for fruit bitterness in this RILs population were mapped. The locus of *bi-1* was located at the region between SSR0004 and SSR02309 within the genetic distance of 5.2 cM on chromosome 6. The locus of *bi-3* was placed in the regions of *SSR*00116–SSR05321 within the genetic distance of 6.3 cM on chromosome 5. The physical distances for the regions of *bi-1* and *bi-3* were 11,430.94 Kb with 160 predicted genes and 1528.23 Kb with 198 predicted genes, respectively. Among 160 predicted genes for *bi-1*, there is a terpene synthase gene named Csa008595, which was speculated as the candidate gene of *bi-1*.

Key words: Cucumis sativus L, gene prediction, inheritance, Marker-assisted selection, molecular marker

Most cucurbit species have bitter compounds called cucurbitacins in their foliage (Rehm et al. 1957). Cucurbitacins are believed to be toxins produced by these plants as a defense against insects and herbivores (Balkema-Boomstra et al. 2003). Most cucurbit cultivars have been selected by breeders to have low cucurbitacin content in the fruit. Bitterness in cucumber fruit is also due to the presence of cucurbitacins, and the trait has a complex inheritance mechanism. As well as genetic factors, bitterness is also related to environmental conditions (Kano and Goto 2003). Some cucumber cultivars are nonbitter in their foliage, as well as their fruit. The Dutch researchers Andeweg and DeBruyn (1959), selected a nonbitter cucumber line from an improved American cultivar, "Long Green," and reported that nonbitterness in the foliage was controlled by the recessive gene, bi (bi-1). When the bi-1 gene is present, cucumber foliage is nonbitter, and the fruit do not develop bitterness under environmental stress. Nearly, all Dutch cultivars released for greenhouse use have nonbitter foliage and fruit. Some cucumber cultivars have bitter foliage but nonbitter fruit. Most Northern Chinese type (Chinese Long) cucumbers belong to this type.

In addition to *bi-1* controlling foliage nonbitterness in cucumber, other genes are known to be involved with the presence or absence of cucumber bitterness, including *bi-2* (Wehner et al. 1998), Bt(Bt-1) (Barham 1953), and Bt-2 (Walters et al. 2001). The *bi-2* gene for bitter-free foliage in cucumber was found in the germplasm named NCG-093. It fit a recessive, single-gene model. Bt-1 is the dominant gene responsible for the extremely bitter flavor found in the cucumber line PI173889 and is linked to *bi-1* (Walters et al. 2001). Bt-2 is proposed as the gene controlling bitterness of fruit in LJ90430. It is a separate locus from Bt-1 and it was inherited as a single dominant gene. However, there are few reports on the interaction among genes controlling bitterness in fruit and foliage. During our study of single-gene phenotypes, it was found that  $F_1$  fruit were unexpectedly

bitter (not extreme bitter like the fruit of *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii*) when crossing 2 fruit nonbitter inbreds, the Dutch *bi-1bi-1* genotype and the Chinese line with foliage bitterness genotype, indicating that there was another gene different from *bi-1* in the Chinese line that interacted with *bi-1* causing fruit bitterness.

Bitter cucumber fruit are unpleasant to eat, so genetically bitter cultivars can cause losses for cucumber producers if the plants are grown under stress, even in greenhouse or other protected culture systems. Fruit bitterness can be avoided by using genetically nonbitter cultivars. However, phenotypic selection for nonbitterness is difficult due to the influence of environmental factors and the effort required for taste testing. Given these difficulties, cucumber breeders would benefit from an efficient and reliable detection method for bitterness such as marker-assisted selection (MAS) using molecular markers rather than taste. There are few reports of molecular markers linked to bitterness genes in cucumber. The cucumber *bi-1* gene was mapped to chromosome 6 (Chl.6) using flanking simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers SSR02309 and SSR00004 with genetic distance of 1.7 and 2.2 cM (Li et al. 2010). The physical distance for this region was 35 Kb (Huang et al. 2009). We found an amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) marker linked to the bi-1 gene with a genetic distance of 6.43 cM and 2 flanking AFLP markers E23M66-101 and E25M65-213 linked to the Bt-1 gene with genetic distance of 5 and 4 cM (Chi et al. 2007; Gu et al. 2006).

The objective of this study was to determine the inheritance and to map the loci for cucumber fruit bitterness in a recombinant inbred lines (RILs) population derived from 2 inbred lines having nonbitter fruit. We were interested in understanding the interaction between 2 genes causing fruit bitterness and in screening SSR markers for MAS for fruit nonbitterness. The work might also benefit studies on fruit bitterness in other cucurbits.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Plant Materials

A set of 148 F<sub>9</sub> RILs was used as materials in this study. The RILs were developed from the cross between 2 cultivated cucumber inbred lines 9110Gt (P1) and 9930 (P2). 9110Gt was derived from the cross between a European greenhouse cultivar named BRUNEX and 3 Northern Chinese lines GANCHAO, WUQING, and JINYAN No.6. Inbred line 9930 selected from BEIJINXIAOCI is a Northern Chinese fresh-market cucumber for which the genome has been sequenced (Huang et al. 2009). Foliage and fruit of 9110Gt are nonbitter. The trait of foliage nonbitterness in 9110Gt was derived from BRUNEX. Allelism test verified that the genotype of 9110Gt was bi-1bi-1. Foliage of 9930 is bitter but fruit is nonbitter. A single  $F_1$  plant from 9110Gt  $\times$  9930 mating was self-pollinated to produce F<sub>2</sub> progeny, which were then advanced to F<sub>0</sub> by single-seed descent to generate 148 RILs.

## Foliage and Fruit Bitterness Evaluation

Foliage and fruit bitterness evaluation was conducted in 4 greenhouse seasons in Beijing, China (2006 spring and autumn, 2007 autumn, and 2009 spring). Plants from the 2 parental lines, F1 and 148 RILs, were grown in the greenhouse to study bitterness. For each growing season, the experiment was a randomized complete block design, consisting of 3 blocks with 5 plants per plot for each RIL (in total, 15 plants per RIL), 10 plants per plot for parental lines, and the F<sub>1</sub>. Seeds of the test materials were sown in pots in the greenhouse, and the seedlings were transplanted into the greenhouse at the 3-leaf stage. Foliage and fruit bitterness were evaluated using tasting method described by Andeweg and DeBruyn (1959). Evaluation of foliage bitterness was conducted by tasting the cotyledons of seedlings, mature leaves, or tendrils 3 times. The tasting of the fruit was conducted from the first fruit to the end of trial. Three people trained to detect bitterness tasted the same plant every time to ensure proper results. Each taste-tester rinsed orally and ate a soda cracker after tasting a bitter plant in order to maintain their palate for further tasting.

## SSR Marker Analysis

DNA was extracted from young leaf tissue of the parental lines,  $F_1$ , and each line in the population of RILs using a CTAB (Cetyltriethylammonium bromide) extraction procedure (Staub et al. 1996). DNA concentration was estimated on a 1% agarose gel with 1× TEA buffer, stained with ethidium bromide.

Each 15  $\mu$ L of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mix contained double distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) 8.02  $\mu$ L, 10× buffer 1.5  $\mu$ L, dNTPs (10 mM) 0.2  $\mu$ L, Taq DNA polymerase (10 U/  $\mu$ L) 0.08  $\mu$ L, primer F (50 ng/ $\mu$ L) 0.6  $\mu$ L, primer R (50 ng/  $\mu$ L) 0.6  $\mu$ L, DNA (10 ng/ $\mu$ L) 4.0  $\mu$ L. The PCR amplifications were performed using a GeneAmp PCR system 9700 (Applied Biosystems incorporated, Foster City, California) as follows: 94 °C/4 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C/15 s, 55 °C/15 s, 72 °C/30 s, and 72 °C/5 min, 16 °C. Subsequently, 3  $\mu$ L of the PCR product was employed for electrophoresis in 6% polyacrylamide gel according to the method used by Sambrook and Russell (2001).

A total of 2416 pairs of SSR primers were screened to identify polymorphisms between the parental lines (9110Gt and 9930) of the RILs population. The development of SSR primers used in this study was described by Ren et al. (2009). PCR using identified polymorphic SSR primers were conducted on DNA from each line of the RILs to collect data for gene locus detection.

#### Genetic Analysis and Locus Detection

Mendelian theory was employed to analyze the inheritance of fruit bitterness according to the segregation ratio in the RILs population. JoinMap version 3.0 (Van Ooijen and Voorrips 2001) was used to develop linkage maps. Marker segregation was analyzed for conformation to Mendelian ratios expected in the RILs using a chi-square test. A minimum logarithm of

Generation	Total plants tested (No.)	Plants with bitter fruit (No.)	Plants with nonbitter fruit (No.)	Plants with bitter foliage (No.)	Plants with nonbitter foliage (No.)	Tested segregation	Chi-squared statistic
9110Gt P <sub>1</sub>	30	0	30	0	30	not tested	
9930 P <sub>2</sub>	30	0	30	30	0	not tested	
$9110Gt \times 9930 F_1$	30	30	0	30	0	not tested	
9110Gt × 9930 RILs	148	41	107		_	1:3	0.58
$9110Gt \times 9930 \text{ RILs}$	148			81	67	1:1	1.32

 Table I
 Segregation ratios of plants with bitter or nonbitter foliage and fruit in 9110Gt×9930 RILs population pooled over the 4 seasons in spring 2006, autumn 2006, autumn 2007, and spring 2009

The segregation of plants with foliage bitterness and nonbitterness fit a ratio of 1:1.

odds (LOD) of 4.0 was set as a threshold to relegate marker loci into linkage groups, to order markers, and to estimate interval distances (Kosambi function). An interval mapping analysis (Lander and Botstein 1989; Van Ooijen 1992) was conducted using the MapQTL 4.0 package (Van Ooijen et al. 2000) to detect quantitative trait loci (QTLs).

## Sequence Annotation and Gene Prediction in Genomic Region Harboring Locus Controlling Fruit Bitterness

The sequences were aligned with the cucumber genome sequences (Huang et al. 2009) using BLASTN at an E-value cutoff of  $1 \times 10-20$ . Only the matches with the identity of more than 95% were retained. Gene prediction was performed with the computer program BGF (http://bgf.genomics.org.cn) and verified by FGENESH (http://sunl.softberry.com/) (Salamov and Solovyev 2000), GENESCAN (http://genes.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html) (Burge and Karlin 1997), TwinScan (http://mblab.wustl.edu/software/twinscan) (Korf et al. 2001) and lastly checked manually. InterProScan (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/InterProScan) (Zdobnov and Apweiler 2001) was used for gene annotation.

# Results

#### Fruit Bitterness Inheritance of the RILs

The assessment of foliage and fruit bitterness for parental lines and their  $F_1$  generation were consistent over the 4 runs in spring 2006, autumn 2006, autumn 2007, and spring 2009. In total, 120 plants of 9110Gt, 120 plants of 9930, and 120 plants of  $F_1$  were investigated in the 4 seasons. Foliage and fruit of 9110Gt were nonbitter, the foliage of 9930 was bitter but the fruit was nonbitter, and the foliage and fruit of the  $F_1$  were bitter.

For the population of 148 RILs, pooled over the 4 seasons, there were 107 lines with nonbitter and 41 lines with bitter fruits. The segregation fits a ratio of 3:1 (Table 1). There were 81 lines with bitter foliage and 67 lines with nonbitter foliage. The segregation fits a ratio of 1:1 (Table 1). In 148 RILs, there were 39 lines with both fruit and foliage bitterness, 67 lines with both fruit and foliage nonbitterness, and 42 lines with fruit nonbitterness but foliage bitterness.



**Figure 1.** Genetic model of fruit bitterness in the RILs derived from 9110Gt × 9930. P<sub>1</sub>:9110Gt; P<sub>2</sub>:9930; a:*bi-1*; b:*bi-3*; A:*Bi-1*; B:*Bi-3*. \*means homozygous *a* had epistatic recessiveness on *B*. Namely, cucumber plants with nonbitterness foliage must have nonbitter fruit.

They accounted for 25%, 50%, and 25% of the total number of RILs, respectively. From the above data, it was concluded that there were 2 loci controlling fruit bitterness in this RILs population, and one locus was at the same position as the *bi-1* gene. We named the gene harboring the second locus as *bi-3* (Figure 1). The inheritance model was as Figure 1.

## Locus Detection using SSR Markers

Molecular analysis performed on 9110Gt and 9930 using the SSR method resulted in identification of 320 primers generating polymorphic amplicons from the total of 2416 pairs of SSR primers. A total of 248 SSR markers showed polymorphism in the RILs population and were employed for linkage analysis and map construction (Miao et al. 2011). Using this SSR linkage map, 2 loci (*bi-1* and *bi-3*, Table 2) were detected for fruit bitterness in this RILs population in each of 4 seasons. Both *bi-1* and *bi-3* were mapped at same loci under 4 environments.

The locus of *bi-1* was located at the position between SSR0004 and SSR02309 within the genetic distance of 5.2 cM on Chr.6. It explained 24.3–25.8% of the phenotypic

Downloaded from http://jhered.oxfordjournals.org/ at China Academy of Agricultural Sciences on October 22, 2012

American Genetic Association

The Journal of Heredity

Chr. Position (cM) Marker interval LOD R2% Additive effect Season Gene locus 21.5 SSR00004-02309 10.01 24.4 0.22 Spring 2006 bi-1 6 0.22 Autumn 2006 hi-1 6 21.5 SSR00004-02309 13.15 26.5 Autumn 2007 bi-1 6 21.5 SSR00004-02309 12.76 24.3 0.21 SSR00004-02309 0.23 Spring 2009 bi-1 6 21.5 14.50 25.8 Spring 2006 5 15.8 SSR00116-05321 8.17 19.2 -0.19bi-3 Autumn 2006 bi-3 5 15.8 SSR00116-05321 13.4 27.5 -0.23Autumn 2007 5 27.9 bi-3 15.8 -0.23SSR00116-05321 14.27 5 15.8 15.39 Spring 2009 bi-3 SSR00116-05321 27.8 -0.24

Table 2 Characteristics of the 2 loci controlling fruit bitterness obtained from the RILs population

 $R^2$  = proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the locus.



**Figure 2.** Detecting the locus of *bi-1* controlling fruit bitterness in 9110Gt  $\times$  9930 RILs population. LOD scores are shown on the *y*-axis. The LOD threshold of 3.0 is indicated by a horizontal dotted line.

variation with LOD score of 10.01-14.50 in 4 seasons (Figure 2). In previous studies, we had mapped *hi-1* gene to Chr.6 using flanking markers SSR0004 and SSR02309 with genetic distance of 1.9 and 3.3 cM, respectively (Li et al. 2010; Miao et al. 2011). The results for the *hi-1* locus screened by SSR linkage map in the present study and in previous study were consistent with our inheritance analysis. The locus of *hi-3* was placed in the region of SSR00116-SSR05321 within the genetic distance of 6.3 cM on Chr.5. It explained 19.2–27.8% of the phenotypic variation with LOD score of 8.17–15.39 in 4 different seasons (Figure 3).

## Annotation and Gene Prediction in the Locus Controlling Fruit Bitterness

The physical distance of the region between SSR00116 and SSR05321 that harbored bi-3 was 1528.23 Kb based on the whole genome sequence of cucumber, and there were 198 annotated genes in this region. The SSR marker SSR00116 was located on the Scaffold000049 within the region of 78–826257. There were 98 genes annotated in this region. SSR05321 was in the region of 346273–498741 on the Scaffold000047, and there were 100 predicted genes in this region. No cucurbitacin-related candidate gene was identified.

The physical distance between SSR00004 and SSR02309 was 11,430.94 Kb, and there were 160 annotated genes in this region. The SSR marker SSR00004 was located on the Scaffold000028 with 99 annotated genes. SSR02309 was on



**Figure 3.** Detecting the locus of bi-3 controlling fruit bitterness in 9110Gt × 9930 RILs population. LOD scores are shown on the *y*-axis. The LOD threshold of 3.0 is indicated by a horizontal dotted line.

the Scaffold000058, and there were 61 predicted genes in this region. Among these predicted genes, there is a terpene synthase gene Csa008595, which we previously speculated as the candidate gene for *bi-1* (Huang et al. 2009).

# Discussion

It was reported that fruit bitterness in cucumber was controlled by Bt-1 (Bt) and Bt-2 (Barham 1953; Walters et al. 2001). Further, bi-1 (bi) and bi-2 control foliage bitterness in cucumber (Andeweg and DeBruyn 1959; Wehner et al. 1998). The genes Bt-1, Bt-2, bi-1, and bi-2 were inherited in single-locus fashion (Barham 1953; Andeweg and DeBruyn 1959; Wehner et al. 1998; Walters et al. 2001). The linkage relationships of Bt-1, Bt-2, bi-1, and bi-2 to other genes have been studied (Bar-Nun and Mayer 1990; Walters and Wehner 1998; Gu et al. 2005; Wehner 2006; Miao et al. 2011). Bt-1 was not linked to the genes of F, D, u, dg, v-1, and pm (Bar-Nun and Mayer 1990; Gu et al. 2005), but Bt-2 was linked to *u*, *D* and *ss* genes (Wehner et al. 1998; Walters and Wehner 1998). There were weak linkages between bi-1 gene and dvl, de, v-1, F (Gu et al. 2005; Miao et al. 2011; Wehner 2006), but there were no linkages between bi-1 gene and D, u, dg (Gu et al. 2005). However, there are few reports on the interaction among the genes controlling bitterness in fruit and foliage. The inheritance for fruit bitterness in the progeny of 2 parental lines with fruit nonbitterness was

Zhang et al. • Localization of a New Gene for Bitterness in Cucumber

not clear before this study. Here, we concluded that there were 2 loci, bi-1 and bi-3, controlling fruit bitterness in the RILs population derived from 2 parental lines without fruit bitterness. The *bi-1* gene is epistatically recessive to the *bi-3* gene, such that cucumber plants with nonbitter foliage also have nonbitter fruit. However, if the foliage is bitter, its fruit can be bitter or nonbitter. This finding explains the observation that fruit of progeny can be bitter even if the fruits of both parents were nonbitter (Gu et al. 2007). In our study, the cross the *bi-1* and the proposed *bi-3* genotype produced F1 plants with bitter fruit. Our results indicate that *bi-3* is unique and not allelic to *bi-2* since  $F_1$  plants derived from a cross between bi-2 and bi-1 genotypes all produce nonbitter fruit (Wehner et al. 1998). Similarly, bi-3 is not attributed to Bt-1 or Bt-2 since the parental lines utilized in our study produce nonbitter fruit, unlike the bitter fruit phenotype conditioned by *Bt-1* and *Bt-2*.

There have been several studies on the construction of cucumber linkage maps using molecular markers (e.g., Kennard et al. 1994; Park et al. 2000; Young et al. 2000; Bradeen et al. 2001; Fazio et al. 2003; Ren et al. 2009; Weng et al. 2010; Miao et al. 2011). Several important qualitative traits (litteleaf, gynoecious, determinate, small spines, dull fruit skin, fruit ribbing, heavy netting of fruit, foliage bitterness, tuberculate fruit, scab resistance, and monoecious) and some QTLs for quantitative traits (powdery mildew resistance, lateral branching, parthenocarpy, plant height) have been placed on molecular linkage maps (Staub and Serquen 2000; Fazio et al. 2003; Sakata et al. 2006; Sun et al. 2006; Matthew et al. 2008; Heang et al. 2008; Li et al. 2009; Li et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2010a, 2010b; Kang et al. 2011).

In this study, we developed a SSR linkage map in order to identify loci for fruit bitterness using a RILs population. Consistent with prior results (Li et al. 2010; Miao et al. 2011), the flanking SSR markers (SSR0004 and SSR02309) linked to bi-1 within the genetic distance of 5.2 cM. Two flanking SSR markers (SSR00116 and SSR05321) linked to bi-3 within the genetic distance of 6.3 cM are described in this present study. These SSR markers will assist in MAS breeding for nonbitter fruit in cucumber breeding programs.

The bi-1 gene controls foliage bitterness in cucumber because of the presence of cucurbitacin (Da Costa and Jones 1971). Cucurbitacins are bitter cucurbit triterpenoid compounds and are toxic to most organisms, but attract specialized insects, such as cucumber beetle (Da Costa and Jones 1971; Balkema-Boomstra et al. 2003). Oxidosqualene cyclase (OSC) catalyzes the formation of the triterpene carbon framework in plants (Phillips et al. 2006). An OSC gene (CPQ) in squash (Cucurbita pepo L.) is the first confirmed enzyme in the cucurbitacin biosynthesis pathway that has been reported (Shibuya et al. 2004). In our previous study of cucumber, we identified 4 OSC genes, and the CPQ ortholog (Csa008595) resides in a genetic interval that defines bi-1 (Huang et al. 2009), suggesting that bi-1 is responsible for cucurbitacin synthesis. Additional research is required to ascribe function to bi-3.

## Funding

National Natural Science Foundation of China (30900989); Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System (CARS-25); Core Research Budget of the Non-profit Governmental Research Institution (ICS,CAAS;201109); Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetic Improvement of Horticultural Crops, Ministry of Agriculture, China.

## References

Andeweg JM, DeBruyn JW. 1959. Breeding of non-bitter cucumbers. Euphytica. 8:13–20.

Balkema-Boomstra AG, Zijlstra S, Verstappen FW. 2003. Role of cucurbitacin C in resistance to spider mite (Tetranychus urticae) in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). J. Chem. Ecol. 29:225–235.

Barham WS. 1953. The inheritance of a bitter principle in cucumbers. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 62:441–442.

Bar-Nun N, Mayer AM. 1990. Cucurbitacins protect cucumber tissue against infection by *Botrytis cinerea*. Phytochemistry 29:781–791.

Bradeen JM, Staub JE, Wye C, Antonise R, Peleman J. 2001. Towards an expanded and integrated linkage map of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Genome. 44:111–119.

Burge C, Karlin S. 1997. Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA. J Mol Biol. 268:78–94.

Chi X, Gu X, Zhang S, Wang X, Wang Y. 2007. Identification of molecular markers linked to foliage non-bitterness gene (bi) in *Cucumis sativus* L. Acta Horticulturae Sinica 34:1177–1182.

Da Costa CP, Jones CM. 1971. Cucumber Beetle Resistance and Mite Susceptibility Controlled by the Bitter Gene in *Cucumis satirus* L. Science. 172(3988):1145–1146.

Fazio G, Chung SM, Staub JE. 2003. Comparative analysis of response to phenotypic and marker-assisted selection for multiple lateral branching in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L). Theor Appl Genet. 107:875–883.

Gu X, Zhang S, Chi X. 2005. Inheritance and linkage relationships among the genes of leaf mutant and bitterness with other five major genes in cucumber. Acta Horticulturae Sinica 32:108–110.

Gu X, Zhang S, Guo Y. 2007. Inheritance of bitterness in cucumber. Acta Horticulturae 731:67–70.

Gu X, Zhang S, Zhang S. 2006. The AFLP markers linked with the bitter fruit gene (*Bt*) in cucumber. Acta Horticulturae Sinica 33:140–142.

Heang D, Sato H, Sassa H, Koba T. 2008. Detection of two QTLs for fruit weight in cucumber (*Cucumis satinus* L). Proc IXth EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding of Cucurbitaceae, INRA, Avignon, France, p. 511–514.

Huang S, Li R, Zhang Z, Li L, Gu X, Fan W, Lucas W, Wang X, Xie B, Ni P, et al. 2009. The genome of the cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L. Nat. Genet. 41:1275–1281.

Kang H, Weng Y, Yang Y, Zhang Z, Zhang S, Mao Z, Cheng G, Gu X, Huang S, Xie B. 2011. Fine genetic mapping localizes cucumber scab resistance gene Ccu into an R gene cluster. Theor Appl Genet. 122:795–803.

Kano Y, Goto H. 2003. Relationship between the occurrence of bitter fruit in cucumber and the contents of total nitrogen, amino acid nitrogen, protein, and HMG-CoA reductase activity. Sci. Hort. 98:1–8.

Kennard WC, Poetter K, Dijkhuizen A, Meglic V, Staub JE, Havey MJ. 1994. Linkage among RFLP, RAPD, isozyme, disease resistance, and morphological markers in narrow and wide crosses of cucumber. Theor. Appl. Genet. 89:42–48.

Korf I, Flicek P, Duan D, Brent MR. 2001. Integrating genomic homology into gene structure prediction. Bioinformatics. 17 Suppl 1:S140–S148.

Downloaded from http://jhered.oxfordjournals.org/ at China Academy of Agricultural Sciences on October 22, 2012

Lander ES, Botstein D. 1989. Mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. Genetics. 121:185–199.

Li M, Gong Y, Miao H, Wu J, Gu X, Zhang S, Wang X. 2010. Fine mapping of the foliage bitterness gene (Bi) in *Cucumis satirus*. Acta Horticulturae Sinica 37:1073–1078.

Li Z, Liu S, Pan J, Zhang Z, Tao Q, Shi Q, Jia Z, Zhang W, Chen H, Si L, et al. 2009. Molecular isolation of the M gene suggests that a conserved-residue conversion induces the formation of bisexual flowers in cucumber plant. Genetics 182:1381–1385.

Matthew DR, Michael DC, Staub JE. 2008. Pyramiding QTL for multiple lateral branching in cucumber using inbred backcross lines. Mol. Breed. 22:131–139.

Miao H, Zhang S, Wang X, Zhang Z, Li M, Mu S, Cheng Z, Zhang R, Huang S, Xie B, et al. 2011. A linkage map of cultivated cucumber (*Cucumis satirus* L.) with 248 microsatellite marker loci and seven genes for horticulturally important traits. Euphytica. 182:167–176.

Park YH, Sensoy S, Wye C. 2000. A genetic map of cucumber composed of RAPDs, RFLPs, AFLPs, and loci conditioning resistance to papaya ring spot and zucchini yellow mosaic viruses. Genome 43:1003–1010.

Phillips DR, Rasbery JM, Bartel B, Matsuda SP. 2006. Biosynthetic diversity in plant triterpene cyclization. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 9:305–314.

Ren Y, Zhang Z, Liu J, Staub JE, Han Y, Cheng Z, Li X, Lu J, Miao H, Kang H, et al. 2009. A integrated genetic and cytogenetic map of the cucumber genome. PLoS ONE 4:e5795.

Rehm S, Enslin PR, Meeuse ADT, Wessels JH. 1957. Bitter principles of the Cucurbitaceae, VII. The distribution of bitter principles in this plant family. J. Sci. Food Agric. 8:679–686.

Salamov AA, Solovyev VV. 2000. Ab initio gene finding in Drosophila genomic DNA. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. p. 516–522.

Sambrook J, Russell DW. 2001. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 3rd ed. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Sakata Y, Kubel N, Morishita M. 2006. QTL analysis of powdery mildew resistance in cucumber (*Cucumis satirus* L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 112:243–250.

Shibuya M, Adachi S, Ebizuka Y. 2004. Cucurbitadienol synthase, the first committed enzyme for cucurbitacin biosynthesis, is a distinct enzyme from cycloartenol synthase for phytosterol biosynthesis. Tetrahedron. 60:6995–7003.

Staub, JE, Bacher J, Poetter K. 1996. Sources of potential errors in the application of random amplified polymorphic DNAs in cucumber. Hort. Science. 31:262–266.

Staub JE, Serquen FC. 2000. Towards an integrated linkage map of cucumber: map merging. Acta Hort. 510:357–336.

Sun Z, Staub JE, Chung SM. 2006. Identification and comparative analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with parthenocarpy in processing cucumber. Plant Breed. 125:281–287.

Van Ooijen JW. 1992. Accuracy of mapping quantitative trait loci in autogamous species. Theor. Appl. Genet. 84:803–811.

Van Ooijen JW, Boer MP, Jansen RC, Maliepaard C. 2000. MapQTL Version 4.0, software for the calculation of QTL positions on genetic maps. Wageningen (The Netherlands): Plant Research International.

Van Ooijen J, Voorrips R. 2001. JoinMap 3.0, software for calculation of genetic linkage maps. Wageningen (The Netherlands): Plant Research International.

Walters SA, Shetty NV, Wehner TC. 2001. Segregation and linkage of several genes in cucumber. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 126:442–450.

Walters SA, Wehner TC. 1998. Independence of the mj nematode resistance gene from 17 gene loci in cucumber. Hort. Science. 33:1050–1052.

Wehner TC. 2006. Gene list 2005 for cucumber. Cucurbit Genet. Coop. Rep. 28–29:105–141.

Wehner TC, Liu JS, Staub JE. 1998. Two-gene interaction and linkage for bitterfree foliage in cucumber. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 123:401–403.

Weng YQ, Johnson S, Staub JE, Huang SW. 2010. An extended microsatellite genetic map of cucumber, *Cucumis satimus* L. Hort. Science. 45:880–886.

Young HP, Suat S, Crispin W, Rudie A, Johan P, Michael JH. 2000. A genetic map of cucumber composed of RAPDs, RFLPs, AFLPs, and loci conditioning resistance to papaya ringspot and zucchini yellow mosaic viruses. Genome. 43:1003–1010.

Zdobnov EM, Apweiler R. 2001. InterProScan—an integration platform for the signature-recognition methods in InterPro. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 847–848.

Zhang S, Miao H, Gu X, Yang Y, Xie B, Wang X, Huang S, Du Y, Sun R, Wehner TC. 2010a. Genetic mapping of the scab resistance gene (*Cau*) in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 135:53–58.

Zhang W, He H, Guan Y, Du H, Yuan L, Li Z, Yao D, Pan J, Cai R. 2010b. Identification and mapping of molecular markers linked to the tuberculate fruit gene in the cucumber (*Cucumis satirus* L.). Theor Appl Genet. 120:645–654.

Received November 8, 2011; Revised June 14, 2012; Accepted August 1, 2012

Corresponding Editor: John Stommel